

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2006

API	LICATION NO.		FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
,	10/735,694	-	12/16/2003		. Shigetaka Hamada		10517/198	3515	
	23838	7590	11/22/2006				EXAMINER		
	KENYON & KENYON LLP 1500 K STREET N.W.						BERHANU, SAMUEL		
•	SUITE 700						ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	WASHING	ron, d	C 20005				2838		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/735,694	HAMADA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit_	
Samuel Berhanu	2838	

	Samuel Berhanu	2838	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence add	Iress
THE REPLY FILED 03 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION	N FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment stice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	, affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set fater than SIX MONTHS from the m (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN 06.07(f).	ailing date of the final reject THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amo shortened statutory period for reply r than three months after the mailin	unt of the fee. The appropr originally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a b	rief will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ccause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		,	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		ite, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		will be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	-		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> .			•
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	-		•
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	on in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_ ,	
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	•	· -	-
	,	9	
	SIE	KARL EASTHON ERVISORY PATENT E	VI EXAMINER
	301		

Continuation of 13. Other: Continuation of 13. Other: The add limitaions on Claims create new issues, these new combinations have never been considered..